

## PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/917,04	4 08/19/	97 FEHN	<del>-</del>	પ્ત	Sand From the Sand St. Co.
Γ	EUGENE F	FRIEDMAN	IM71/0212		NOLE	EXAMINER
	MONADNOCK 53 WEST J	ACKSON BOU	SUITE 1633		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CHICAGO I	L 60604			DATE MAILED:	02/12/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

يوفيه ا

Application No. 08/917,044

Applicant(s)

Fehn

Examiner

Charles R. Nold

Group Art Unit 1772

Since	action is <b>FINAL</b> .  e this application is in condition for allowance exce	
	e this application is in condition for allowance exc	
	cordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle	·
is longer applicati	r, from the mailing date of this communication. F	s set to expire <u>30 days</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever allure to respond within the period for response will cause the xtensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposit	ion of Claims	
X C	laim(s) <u>1-87 and 113-138</u>	is/are pending in the application.
0	f the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	laim(s)	
	laim(s)	
		is/are objected to.
		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
	ion Papers	
	ee the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	rawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ Th	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
Tr	ne proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.
☐ Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
□ TI	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
□ A	cknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED co	pies of the priority documents have been
	received.	
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Seri	
	received in this national stage application fro	m the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	Certified copies not received:	priority under 25 H.C.C. 5 110/o
L A	cknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited, PTO-892 formation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	oper No/ol
	terview Summary, PTO-413	per 140(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	TO-948
	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 08/917,044 Page 2

Art Unit:

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The finality of paper no. 5, 12-18-98 is withdrawn.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 1-22 requires polypropylene,

claims 23-43 requires EVOH

claim 44-59 requires fluorinated polyethylene.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a)

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 08/917,044

Page 3

Art Unit:

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Eugene Friedman on 2-9-99 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Nold whose telephone number is (703) 308-4416.

Charles R. Nold Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772